PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Eing. 14. Nov. 2005 Siernwartstr. 4 D-81679 München WRITTEN OPINION OF THE	From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AU	JTHORITY		Pate Reitstötter, I	entanwälte Kinzobach & Part.	
See form PCT/ISA/220 Date of mailing (day/month/year) Date of mailing (day/month/year) See form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	To:	·		E	Eing. 14.	Nov. 2005 - Aci	
Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2003 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC HOI 1M8/10 Applicant NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA at defending of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220.		see form PCT/ISA/22	0	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.2003 14.10.20		•					
International application No. PCT/SA/220 International application No. PCT/EP2004/011568 International filing date (day/month/year) 14.10.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01M8/02, H01M8/10 Applicant NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. IV Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION International Preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SA/220.							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01M8/02, H01M8/10 Applicant NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Basis of the opinion Box No. Priority Box No. Priority Box No. Hon-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	see	form PCT/ISA/220		•			
Applicant NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Basis of the opinion	PCT	T/EP2004/011568	14.10.2004		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	H01I	M8/02, H01M8/10	or both national classification a	and IPC			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Purchased Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			PE S.R.L.				
 Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examination be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. 		_	_	owing ite	ems:		
 Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 		~	`			•	
Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			shment of opinion with regar	rd to nov	veltv. inventive	step and industrial applicability	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	ļ	_			,, ,	, see and management	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	İ	☑ Box No. V Reasoned st	tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1	1(a)(i) wi supporti	ith regard to no ing such state:	ovelty, inventive step or industrial ment	
FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	I						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	[☐ Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international applic	ication			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	[☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	vations on the internationa	al applica	ation		
written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		FURTHER ACTION					
submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	t I	written opinion of the Internatio the applicant chooses an Autho International Bureau under Rul	onal Preliminary Examining A ority other than this one to be	Authority oe the IPI	y ("IPEA"). How PEA and the ch	wever, this does not apply where	
	s n	submit to the IPEA a written rep months from the date of mailing	ply together, where appropri	riate, with	h amendments	s before the expiration of three	
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	F	For further options, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.			•	
	. F	or further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

GONZALEZ-CUENCA, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4740



10/573003 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 21 MAR 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011568

_		
_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	ı	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece:	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ssary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha cc	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011568

Bo ap	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	the entire international applica	tion,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1(partially),14							
be	cause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful opi	ings nion	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
☒	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1(partially), 14							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleot not comply with the technical re	ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, doesements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
\boxtimes	See separate sheet for further of	letail	s S					

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-13

2-13

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims 1

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/011568

Re Item III.

Rule 6.2 (a) PCT

The application does not meet the requirements of Rule 6.2 (a) PCT because claim 14 refers to the figures. Therefore neither search nor examination has been performed regarding said claim 14.

Clarity - Article 6 PCT

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because claim 1 is not clear. Claim 1 doe not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. By using the wording "characterised in that the lateral migration of ions proceeding from said thermostatting fluid inside said ion-exchange membranes is prevented", said claim 1 attempts to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.

Therefore the search has been carried out on a stack consisting of a filter-press modular arrangement comprising a multiplicity of single proton exchange membrane fuel cells and of cooling devices, each cell being delimited by a pair of metal bipolar plates and comprising ion- exchange membranes and perimetrical sealing gaskets shaped as frames suitable for housing current collectors within their hollow central part, the bipolar plates and the gaskets being provided with passage openings comprising holes for feeding the reactant gases, for extracting the residual gases with the reaction products, for injecting and discharging a thermostatting fluid.

Therefore the examination has been restricted as well.

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 629 015 A (DE NORA PERMELEC S.P.A; NUVERA FUEL CELLS EUROPE S.R.L) 14 December 1994 (1994-12-14)

1. Novelty - Article 33(2) PCT

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/011568

subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): Stack consisting of a filter-press modular arrangement comprising a multiplicity of single proton exchange membrane fuel cells and of cooling devices, each cell being delimited by a pair of metal bipolar plates and comprising ion- exchange membranes and perimetrical sealing gaskets shaped as frames suitable for housing current collectors within their hollow central part, the bipolar plates and the gaskets being provided with passage openings comprising holes for feeding the reactant gases, for extracting the residual gases with the reaction products, for injecting and discharging a thermostatting fluid (see page 5, lines 5-12, 43-47 and figures 1 and 4).

The combination of the features of claims 2 to 13 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. Therefore said claims meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and inventive step.

2. Industrial applicability - Article 33(4) PCT

The subject-matter of claims 2 to 13 is industrially applicable. Therefore said claims meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.